

Notice of Allowability

Application No.

10/099,783

Examiner

Daniel Zirker

Applicant(s)

ZIMMERMANN ET AL.

Art Unit

1771

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to Brief dated 8/25/04.
2. ☒ The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-11.
3. ☐ The drawings filed on _____ are accepted by the Examiner.
4. ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:
 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: _____.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.
THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
 6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
 - (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
 - 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date _____.
 - (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date _____.
- Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
7. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2. ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date _____
4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material
5. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6. ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413), Paper No./Mail Date _____
7. ☐ Examiner's Amendment/Comment
8. ☒ Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
9. ☐ Other _____

DANIEL ZIRKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1800-

1700

Daniel Zirker

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Upon reconsideration the Examiner has withdrawn his Final Rejection based upon prior art grounds of the pending claims and is passing the application to Issue. The reasons for this are as follows: The Examiner believes that however one wants to categorize the alleged prior art "admission" set forth in the bottom two paragraphs of the specification on page 1 it remains that the bottom paragraph clearly strongly teaches away from the use of polyurethane adhesives in the claimed "adhesive sheet strip" (e.g., Brief, page 5, bottom paragraph). As such, no motivation to combine with the alleged prior art admission can be found in Ganster et al, which only appears to teach the use of the disclosed polyurethane adhesives in medical type environments such as are set forth at Col 4, lines 45-67. Additionally, the polyurethane adhesive compositions set forth in Ganster et al appear to be, as appellants state (e.g., Brief, page 7), significantly different from the claimed polyurethane adhesives coated on the claimed backing, even though the reference does teach the utilization of significant amounts of filler (Col 3, lines 44-61) in the adhesive layer(s). In summary, the relied upon "admissions" provide no motivation to utilize polyurethane adhesives, particularly the significantly different polyurethane adhesives taught in Ganster et al, without using significant amounts of hindsight that can only be found from applicants' disclosure..

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should

Art Unit: 1771

preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled

“Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance.”